Holly Butrimas-Gair

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Dutton, Holly on behalf of Wordsworth, Robert 03 August 2022 12:10 Adrian Petty Wordsworth, Robert RE: Appeal Reference: APP/W1905/W/22/3300254
Dear Mr Petty,	
Thank you for your email. Please see the answers to your questions below.	
Do let us know if you have any further questions.	
Kind regards Holly	
Holly Dutton Inquiries & Major Casework Team The Planning Inspectorate 3J Kite Wing, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN 0303 444 5022 https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate @PINSgov	
From: Sent: 03 August 2022 10:45 To: Subject: Re: Appeal Reference: Al	k> PP/W1905/W/22/3300254
Dear Mr Wordsworth,	
Further to my previous emails, I would like to confirm that we do not want to gain 'Rule 6 status' for the forthcoming Appeal hearing.	
We would, however, like to reserve the right to speak should we deem it necessary or pertinent. In line with that, I have two questions:	
 Is this something that we have to register in advance of the hearing or just at the time of the hearing? It is not necessary for you to register to speak in advance of the inquiry. You can attend the inquiry on the first day and make your request to the Inspector at that time. We are a small group of interested local residents, so do we have to register that interest collectively or individually? It's fine to have a nominated spokesperson attend and speak at the inquiry on behalf of the residents group. Alternatively, residents can speak individually if they would prefer. 	
With many thanks for your assistance in this matter.	
With best wishes.	
Yours sincerely,	
Adrian Petty	
From: Sent: 11 July 2022 10:54	

Subject: Re: Appeal Reference: APP/W1905/W/22/3300254

Dear Mr Wordsworth,

Thank you for your response and swift action. It is greatly appreciated.

With best wishes.

Yours sincerely,

Adrian Petty

From:

Sent: 11 July 2022 09:32

To:

Subject: RE: Appeal Reference: APP/W1905/W/22/3300254

Dear Mr Petty

Thank you for your email.

I'm sorry to hear that you have had issues with the Council. Therefore, I have asked the Council to re-notify interested parties adding our reference number on their notification letter and giving a further 28 days for comments.

Kind regards

Robert Wordsworth

The Planning Inspectorate

Major Casework, Room 3/J, Temple Quay House, 2 the Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

Tel: 0303 4445608 (Voicemail only) Possible post delays due to Covid-19

Email: robert.wordsworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk (NOTE NEW EMAIL)

(please note that I'm working from home, email is the best way to contact me.

A direct phone call may not be answered but please leave a message)

Twitter: @PINSgov

https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate

From:

July 2022 09:03

То:

Subject: Appeal Reference: APP/W1905/W/22/3300254

Dear Mr Wordsworth,

You will recall my earlier emails to you about this appeal and whether to apply for Rule 6 status in the process. In order to make that decision I wanted to speak to the Council first.

I now have to register my concerns about how the process is being handled at a local level.

As you will see from the email trail below and from the letter attached, residents were sent a letter with incomplete information. It lacked the Appeal reference number and an email address to contact the Planning Inspectorate. The only reason I was able to get the Appeal reference number and your email address was because I went online and found an enquiries number for the Planning Inspectorate and they gave me both those pieces of information. I should not have had to do this.

We were also given a telephone number to contact at the Council and a named official to whom we could speak at the top of the letter. I have left four messages requesting a call (and I know that I am not the only person to have done so), to have none of them returned. I sent one email at the end of last week and received a response saying that his telephone line had been 'out of commission for a few days' and I was promised a phone call on Friday morning which never materialised. We are now in a weekend and more days are lost as we approach 22nd July.

Given that this process is so time-limited up to 22nd July, I am writing to ask for your advice, because it now appears to us, as residents, that we are being hampered at every stage by the local Council - surely that has to invalidate the process?

I look forward to hearing from you.

With best wishes.

Yours sincerely,

Adrian Petty

From:

July 2022 08:17

To:
Cc:

Subject: Re: Appeal to the Planning Inspectorate about the Fairmead site off Cuffley Hill

Dear Councillor Cocking,

I write to ask you again, as Leader of the Council, to intervene in this situation.

It is not acceptable, when we are in such a time-limited situation, that the phone number that residents have been given to use has been 'out of commission'. The number each time diverted me to an ee voicemail where I was able to leave messages which were not returned.

I was heartened to receive Peter Quaile's email response below on Friday morning, but I am sorry to report the promised phone call never materialised, so we are now in a weekend and more days of this time-limited period have been lost, which is not to the advantage of residents.

We are in a time-limited period, we have been issued with a letter that does not contain all the necessary information, we have a phone line which has apparently been 'out of commission' and calls which are not being returned. I now write to ask how the Council is going to address this situation?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Adrian Petty

23 Robinson Avenue Goffs Oak

From:

Sent: 08 July 2022 08:37

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: Appeal to the Planning Inspectorate about the Fairmead site off Cuffley Hill

Good morning Mr Petty

Thanks for the email.

My work phone has been out of commission for a few days but is now back on line. I received your email late afternoon yesterday and will call you this morning to discuss the appeal. Regards

Peter Quaile

From:

Sent: 08 July 2022 07:03

To:

Subject: Appeal to the Planning Inspectorate about the Fairmead site off Cuffley Hill

Dear Councillor Cocking,

More than a week ago, I received a letter, dated 27th June (attached), informing me that, the Planning Committee's decision from earlier in the year not to allow 58 dwellings to be built on the Fairmead site is now going to the Planning Inspectorate for Appeal.

The letter outlines the options that residents can take before 22nd July. The letter says that if you wish to have Rule 6 status "you should contact the Planning Inspectorate immediately". However, although there is a postal address, the letter does not provide a telephone number or email address for the Planning Inspectorate. It does provide a website link for the online portal, but you need an appeal reference number to access that (and which you need for any correspondence in relation to this appeal), which again the letter does not give.

More than that, I have tried to contact Peter Quaile on four separate occasions by telephone over the last week, leaving a message on every occasion (and I know that I'm not the only person to have done so) and I have sent an email. I have received no response to any of these approaches.

Given that we have been given a time limit of 22nd July and the letter itself stresses the urgency of any action we should take - the use of the word 'immediately' is a clear indication of this - it is unacceptable that we should get no response from the Council official who we are told, at the top of the letter, to contact.

I am now writing to you as leader of the Council to intervene, as it is not acceptable that we should be sent a letter about the Appeal which does not contain all the necessary information and that a named Council official who we are told to contact is not responding, particularly when we are in such a time-limited situation.

Thank you in anticipation of your help and I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Adrian Petty

23 Robinson Avenue Goffs Oak

DPC:76616c646f72

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only, it may contain sensitive or protectively marked material and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. All email traffic sent via Borough of Broxbourne systems will be subject to recording and monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation. To receive relevant e-communications from the Council, please register at www.broxbourne.gov.uk/emailalerts. E-communications are emails that provide information about Council services and events. All information supplied will be processed and held in accordance with Data Protection regulations. * The Council?s privacy notice can be viewed here*
https://www.broxbourne.gov.uk/communications-marketing/privacy-notice/1 *

<u>Please take a moment to review the **Planning Inspectorate's Privacy Notice** which can be accessed by clicking this link.</u>

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.