

Diane Parsley

From: Laura White
Sent: 06 January 2026 16:31
To: john croke
Cc: KT
Subject: Darcy's Place, Little Broomfield, Church Lane, Wormley (COM/22/0263)

Dear Mr Croke,

The purpose of this email is to respond to multiple emails sent by you between 19th – 22nd December 2025. These are responded to in order of receipt.

1. Subject “Notice of Intent.....” dated 19th December

No further comment is made following my email of 19th December 7:48am.

2. Subject “Subject: TSN ENF/25/0057....” dated 19th December

No further comment is made following my email of 19th December 8:11am.

3. Subject “Re: Evidence”

No further comment is made following my email of 19th December 8:07am.

4. Subject “RE: Darcys place Broomfield” dated 19th December

It is the view of both Hertfordshire County Council and this Council that the works you wish to undertake can only be carried out if planning permission is first obtained. This view has been communicated to you previously and there has been no information from you or your agent that alters this view.

5. Subject “Furthermore. Darcys place.” dated 19th December

It is clear that there is a difference of opinion regarding whether the 1980 EN has been complied with or requires further work. This Council cannot alter the conclusion of Hertfordshire County Council nor has seen any reason to do so. I cannot, therefore, make any comments on the points raised.

6. Subject “Re: Evidence of failed restoration at best” dated 19th December

It is clear that there is a difference of opinion regarding whether the 1980 EN has been complied with or requires further work. This Council cannot alter the conclusion of Hertfordshire County Council nor has seen any reason to do so. I cannot, therefore, make any comments on the points raised.

7. Subject “TSN” dated 20th December

Thank you for confirming your intention with the Temporary Stop Notice. The Notice is not withdrawn.

Please can you advise the purpose of a site meeting? The Council visited and met with you in November and has not received any information that indicates a further visit is necessary at this time.

What do “safety-only works” consist of?

You state that a ROMP has not been submitted but seek these “safety-only works” pending the determination of a ROMP. Please can you clarify? Is a ROMP due to be submitted? If so, what is the timescale for its submission?

I cannot comment on this point without further information.

8. Subject “ENF/HCC/145” dated 20th December

The Council has previously made its position clear, in writing, regarding this Enforcement Notice. There is no further comment to be made.

The evidence relied upon is that which you have seen, as per the documents provided by HCC.

9. Subject “Church Lane Gravel Pit....” dated 22nd December

As above the Council has made its position clear and has no further comment to make.

Conclusion

The position of both Councils has been made clear, on more than one occasion, in writing. It is understood that you disagree with this position. However, sending multiple emails which raise no new points, ask questions that have already been answered, or offer no new evidence, is not going to result in a change of position.

If you have no new evidence or information for consideration, you can apply for planning permission to continue the works.

Any further emails which raise previously responded to points will not be answered.

Kind regards

Laura White

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer | Broxbourne Borough Council

Bishops' College | Churchgate | Cheshunt | EN8 9XQ

Tel: 01992 785555 [REDACTED] Web: www.broxbourne.gov.uk |

X [@BroxbourneBC](#) | Facebook: [@BroxbourneBC](#)



Sign up to receive emails about Council services and events at www.broxbourne.gov.uk/emailalerts